



#plymplanning

Democratic and Member Support

Chief Executive's Department
Plymouth City Council
Ballard House
Plymouth PL1 3BJ

Please ask for Lynn Young
T 01752 304163
E lynn.young@plymouth.gov.uk
www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy
Published 31 August 2016

PLANNING COMMITTEE

ADDENDUM REPORTS

Thursday 1 September 2016
2.00 pm
Council House, Plymouth

Members:

Councillor Wigen, Chair

Councillor Mrs Bridgeman, Vice Chair

Councillors Cook, Sam Davey, Fletcher, Kelly, Martin Leaves, Morris, Mrs Pengelly, Sparling, Stevens, Jon Taylor and Tuohy.

Please find attached addendum reports in respect of agenda items 6.2 and 6.4.

Tracey Lee

Chief Executive

Planning Committee

Agenda

Part I – Public Meeting

6.2. Crown and Column, 223 Ker Street, Plymouth - (Pages 1 - 2)
16/00994/FUL

Applicant: Mr Phil Rump
Ward: Devonport
Recommendation: Grant Conditionally

6.4. 41-43 Chapel Street, Devonport, Plymouth - (Pages 3 - 4)
16/01212/FUL

Applicant: Direct Property Services
Ward: Devonport
Recommendation: Refuse

ADDENDUM REPORT

Planning Committee



Item Number: 6.2

Site: CROWN AND COLUMN, 223 KER STREET PLYMOUTH

Planning Application Number: I6/00994/FUL

Applicant: Mr Phil Rump

Page: 15 – 28

Further to paragraphs 8.2 to 8.6 of the officer report the applicant has forwarded the email from the estate agents who marketed the pub, which confirms that Christie and Co. were instructed to market the Crown and Column in February 2014. The level of marketing described in the report, which shows that the pub was on the market for over two years, is considered by officers to demonstrate an adequate attempt to sell the pub as a going concern, which nevertheless was unsuccessful.

This page is intentionally left blank

ADDENDUM REPORT

Planning Committee



Item Number: 6.4

Site: 41-43 CHAPEL STREET

Planning Application Number: 16/01212/FUL

Applicant: Direct Property Services

Page: 37 - 50

Since the publication of the Officers Report, the Local Planning Authority has received representations from the Devonport Neighbourhood Forum.

The contents of the letter is summarised as follows:

- One of the issues that residents continually raise is that of parking, especially around the Cumberland gardens area
- The application proposes 10 units of accommodation, so the Forum would conservatively estimate that 8 of these occupiers would have 1 if not 2 vehicles that would need parking spaces
- There is currently not enough on street parking bays for the existing residents/businesses in this area, with regular occurrences throughout the day of vehicles parking on the double yellow lines at the front of Cumberland gardens, as well as both residential and business (delivery vehicles) parking on the paved area to the front of the building that is proposed to be developed
- The Forum does not think sufficient thought is given through this application to address the obvious increase in parking need through this proposal, therefore the Forum would like to object giving the reason of insufficient car parking facilities

No other representations have been received, and there are no other issues to update the committee. No change is proposed to the officer recommendation.

This page is intentionally left blank